

# Overview of the DOTD Highway Project Selection Process





## Highway Project Selection Process

What are the categories of highway projects?

- 1. System Preservation
- 2. Operations/Motorist Services
- 3. Traffic Safety
- 4. Additional Capacity/New Infrastructure



## Project Categories

#### **System Preservation**

- Non-interstate Roadways
- Interstate Roadways
- On-system Bridges
- Off-system Bridges



#### Project Categories

#### **Operations**

- · ITS
- Traffic Control Devices
- Roadway Flooding
- Weigh Stations
- Rest Areas

Baton Rouge

- Moveable Bridge (elec./mech.)
- Interstate Lighting
- Traffic System management



### Project Categories

Safety

- Regular Safety Program
- Railroad Crossing Upgrades
- Safe Routes to School/Local Road Safety Program

#### THIS IS NOT AN ACCOUNTING DOCUMENT. IT IS TO BE USED FOR PROGRAMMING PURPOSES ONLY. BUDGET PARTITION FY 12-13 (September 22, 2010)

CATEGORY	SUB-CATEGORY	LETTINGS							NCY/CONS	TUCTION EN	GINEERING	ENGR, R/W, UTIL								GRAND		
		FED FUNDS	STATE FUNDS	NFA	BONDS	TOLLS / LOCAL	SUB-TOTAL	FED FUNDS	STATE	NFA	SUB-TOTAL	FEDERAL FUNDS				STATE FUNDS	nfa	BONDS	LOC	SUB-TOTAL	i	
												ENGR	RW	UTIL	IND.	SUB-TOT FEDERAL						
PRESERVATION	NON-INTERSTATE (PAVEMENT)	104.4	26.1				130.5	8.4	2.1		10.4				15.7	15.7					15.7	156.6
	NON-INTERSTATE (PAVEMENT NFA)			16.9			16.9	0.0		1.4	1.4				0.0							18.3
	CONTRACT MAINTENANCE (ROAD)	1.6	8.4				10.0	0.1	0.7		0.8				0.2	0.2	0.0				0.2	11.0
	INTERSTATE (PAVEMENT)	66.7	7.4				74.1	5.3	0.6		5.9				10.0	10.0	0.0				10.0	90.0
	BRIDGE (ON SYSTEM)*	113.6	28.4				142.0	9.1	2.3	0.0	11.4	5.0	6.2	2.1	18.9	32.2	3.3				35.5	
	BRIDGE (OFF SYSTEM)	14.0				3.5	17.5	1.4			1.4	0.9			2.3	3.2				0.6		22.6
	\$UB-TOTAL	300.3	70.3		0.0	3.5	391.0	24.3	5.7	1.4		5.9	6.2	2.1		61.3	3.3		0.0	0.6		
	ITS (regular)	9.4	1.6				11.0	0.7	0.2		0.9	0.9			1.5		_				2.6	14.5
OPERATIONS	TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES	14.8					14.8	1.2			1.2				2.2	2.2					2.2	18.2
	ROADWAY FLOODING	3.0	0.2				3.7	0.2	0.0		0.3				0.4	0.4	0.0				0.4	4.4
	WEIGH STATIONS	1.7	0.2				1.9	0.2	0.0		0.2				0.3	0.3	0.0				0.3	2.4
	REST AREAS	10.0	1.1				11.1	0.8	0.1		0.9	_			1.5		_				1.5	13.5
	MOVABLE BRIDGE PM	1.5	0.4				1.9	0.2	0.0		0.2				0.2	0.2	0.0				0.2	2.3
	Ferries/major repairs		3.5				3.5					_										3.5
	access management	6.6	1.2				8.3	0.6	0.1		0.7	0.8			1.1	1.9	0.2				2.1	11.1
	INTERSTATE LIGHTING	2.5	0.3				2.8	0.2	0.0		0.2	_			0.4	0.4					0.4	3.4
	TSM	5.9	1.5				7.4	0.5	0.1		0.6	-			0.9	0.9	_				0.9	8.9
	\$UB-TOTAL	55.4	10.0	0.0		0.0	66.4	4.6	0.5		5.2	0.9	0.0		8.6	10.3	0.4				10.7	82.3
SAFETY	HWY. PROGRAM	36.3	4.0				40.3	3.2	0.4		3.6	0.5	4.0	1.0	6.3	11.8	0.6				12.4	56.3
	LOCAL RD. SAFETY	2.6				0.3	2.9	0.2			0.2	0.2				0.2					0.2	3.3
	SAFE RDS. TO SCHOOLS	2.2				0.1	2.3	0.2			0.2	0.2				0.2				0.0	0.2	2.7
	RR Grade Seperations							0.0	0.0		0.0	0.8			0.1	0.9	0.2				1.1	1.1
	RR CROSSING UPGRADES	8.2					8.2	0.7			0.7	0.1			1.3	1.4	0.0				1.4	10.3
	\$UB-TOTAL	49.3	4.0				53.7	4.3	0.4		4.7	1.8	4.0	1.0	7.6	14.4	0.8				15.2	73.6
CAPACITY	REGULAR PROGRAM	21.2	5.3				26.5	1.7	0.4		2.1	1.1			3.3	4.4	0.3				4.7	33.3
	CORRIDOR UPGRADE	0.0	0.0				0.0								0.0	0.0	0.0				0.0	0.0
	SUB-TOTAL	21.2	5.3	0.0	0.0		26.5	1.7	0.4		2.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	3.3	4.4	0.3	0.	0.0		4.7	33.3
	FED ENHANCEMENT PROJECTS	10.8				2.7	13.5	1.0			1.1	0.0								0.1	0.1	14.7
	FEDERAL TRAILS	1.5				0.4	1.9	0.2			0.2											2.1
MISC.	URBAN SYSTEMS, CMAQ	55.2	4.2			9.6	69.0	4.4	0.3		5.5	2.0	6.7	0.5		9.2	0.1			1.8	11.1	85.6
	DEMAND MANAGEMENT	1.0				0.2	1.2	0.1	0.0		0.1											1.2
	INTERMODAL CONNECTORS	5.6	1.4				7.0	0.4	0.1		0.0	0.2	0.6	0.2	0.7	1.7					3.1	10.7
	FED EARMARKS (DEMO,)	1.6	0.4				2.0	0.2	0.0		0.2					0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2
	TIMED PROGRAM																					
	STATE BONDS											⊢					0.0		14.0		14.0	14.0
	TOLLS, LOCAL, OTHER	7.4	0.8	1.1			9.3	0.6	0.1	0.1	0.7				1.1	1.1					1.1	11.1
	ROAD TRANSFER	5.4	3.9				9.3		0.1		0.7				0.8	0.8					0.8	10.8
	"DEBT SERVICE, REIMB. SEED, SEC EMER FUND,										0.0				0.0	0.0	30.0				30.0	30.0
	Take up projects											ı					1.0				1.0	1.0
	State Funded Retainer Contracts																1.0				1.0	1.0
	Hot Mix, Pipe, Bridge materials																5.0				5.0	5.0
												$\vdash$					5.0				5.0	
	Urban Transit	5.0				1.3	6.3					⊢										6.3
	CONVERSION OF A/C	52.7	9.5				62.2								7.3	7.3	0.0				7.3	69.5
	PLANNING, TRAINING, RESEARCH											21.5				21.5					21.5	21.5
	SUB-TOTAL	146.3	20.1	1.1		14.1	181.7	7.0	0.6				7.3			41.6				1.9		
	GRAND TOTAL	572.5	109.7	18.0	0.0	17.6	719.3	41.8	7.6	1.4	52.4	33.4	17.5	3.8	76.5	132.0	43.3	0.0	14.0	2.4	191.7	963.3



## Construction Budget



## FY 2013-2014

•	Sys Preservation	\$4	410	M
•	Ops/Motor. Serv	\$	66	M
•	Safety	\$	65	M
•	Add. Capacity/corridors	\$	8	M
	Sub-total	\$!	549	M
•	Intermodal Connectors	\$	<b>7</b>	M
•	Road Transfer	<b>\$</b>	10	M
•	Enhancements	\$	14	M
•	Urban Systems	\$	72	M
•	High Priority/Bond/Misc.	\$	136	M
	Grand Total	\$7	788	V

## **Project Selection Packets**



- Letter
- Budget Partitions
- Existing Projects Plot
- Existing Projects List
- Needs List
- Needs Map
- FY 12-13 Program



## Highway Project Selection Process

#### How are projects identified?

- Gather and analyze data
  - condition, operations, safety, and congestion
- Seek customer input (Legislative Hearings & year round)
  - Public
  - State and local elected officials
  - Metropolitan Planning Organizations
  - Rural Consultation Process
  - Regional/local planning officials
  - Other state agencies
  - Federal agencies

## DOLD

#### Overview of the DOTD

### Highway Project Selection Process

How are projects prioritized and selected?

- DOTD District and MPO officials rank projects based on:
  - Technical analyses

- Customer input
- Project Selection Teams make the final selections based on:
  - District recommendations
  - Customer input

- Technical analyses
- Available funding



### Highway Project Selection Process

PAVEMENT PRESERVATION (interstate & non-interstate)

**Program Manager/System Preservation** 

Dep. Asst. Sec. Operations

**Pavement Management** 

**HQ Maintenance (Road)** 

**Highway Program Engr.** 

**ROAD PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE** 

**HQ Maintenance** 

**Asst. Secretary (Operations)** 

BRIDGE PRESERVATION/REPLACEMENT

**Bridge Design** 

**HQ Maintenance (Bridge)** 

**Highway Program Engr.** 

**Bridge Management** 

**Systems Engineering Administrator** 

BRIDGE PREVENTIVE

MAINTENANCE

**Acting Systems Engineering Bridge** 

**Bridge Design** 

**HQ Maintenance (Bridge)** 

**Highway Program Engr.** 

**Bridge Management** 



### Highway Project Selection Process

HIGHWAY SAFETY
Highway Safety
Highway Safety Program Mgr.
Road Design
Highway Safety Comm.
Highway Safety Comm.
Scoping Engineer
State Police
Traffic Safety
FHWA

Depote the second secon
ADDITIONAL CAPACITY/ NEW INFRASTRUCTURE
Asst. Secretary (Planning)
Asst. Secretary (Operations)
Project Development
Road Design
Dist. Administrators
Baton Rou Highway Needs Section Head
Highway Program Engr.
Planning



#### TRAFFIC CONTROL DEVICES

**Traffic Safety** 

Dep. Asst. Sec. Operations

**Traffic Services** 

**Highway Safety** 

ITS

#### **WEIGH STATIONS**

Weights & Standards

**Systems Engineering Administrator** 

#### **INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM**

ITS

**Asst. Secretary (Operations)** 

**Traffic Services** 

Highway Safety

**Traffic Safety** 

#### **MOVABLE BRIDGE ELECTRICAL / MECHANICAL**

**HQ Maintenance (Fleet)** 

Dep. Asst. Sec. Operations

**HQ Maintenance (Bridge)** 

**Bridge Design** 

**Bridge Maintenance** 

#### **REST AREAS**

**HQ Maintenance** 

Dep. Asst. Sec. Operations

Systems Engineering Administrator

#### **FERRIES**

Dep. Asst. Sec. Operations

**HQ Maintenance (Fleet)** 

#### **DRAINAGE**

**Road Design** 

Dep. Asst. Sec. Operations

**Highway Program Engr.** 



## Highway Project Selection Process





#### Highway Project Selection Process

Recommended (selected) projects assembled into proposed Highway Program

Proposed Highway Program submitted to House & Senate Transportation Committees

Joint Transportation Committee holds public hearings throughout state for the Program & STIP

Final decision on Highway Program rests with House & Senate Trans. Committees and ultimately full Legislature

#### Benefits of the Highway Project Selection Process



- Addresses different types of projects independently from one another
- Decentralizes and broadens input into the decision-making process
- Draws on expertise throughout DOTD, from other state agencies, and from non-state entities
- Employs performance indicators to track progress in each of the four project categories
  - System Preservation Add. Capacity/New Infrastructure
  - Traffic Safety Operations/ Motorist Services
- Can show what benefits have been derived from investments in each of the four project categories